

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/089,179	YATSUKA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Leigh C. Maier	1623

**All Participants:**

(1) Leigh C. Maier.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Craig McRobbie.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 November 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

10, 12

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Because dandruff and body odor are not diseases, per se, examiner suggested revising claims 10 and 12 as methods of treating dandruff and body odor, respectively, as set forth in the attached Notice of Allowance. Mr. McRobbie approved the amendments.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)